

# Policy Management Committee Policy Analysis Form

The Policy Sponsor will complete Part A and will submit it by email to the Policy Management Committee Coordinator at least two working days prior to the next Committee meeting. The Policy Sponsor with submit a complete document, along with the required submission at least two working days prior to a subsequent Committee meeting.

Part A Overview
1 Program Division name Child Youth and Family Supports (CYFS)
<b>2 Policy Issue to be Addressed</b> Policy is silent regarding Canadian citizenship for children in care. Recently, this has been highlighted in the media, at the Federal level, due to a specific case where a former child in care is currently at risk of being deported due to not having his Canadian citizenship. CYFS wants to explore policy options to determine what role it has to play when children in care do not have Canadian citizenship.
3 Document required
□ Decision Request (DR)
☐ Information Note (IN)
☐ Memorandum to Executive Council (MEC)
Request for Legislation (RFL)
Report and Recommendation (R&R)
☐ Other:
4 Proposed action and timing An Executive Committee decision/directive is required on policy development. This policy consideration is required in a timely manner as the policy has a target date of April 2018 for implementation. An update on this process is being requested by The Ombudsman and there have been several ongoing media requests.
5 Persons responsible for writing document
Program: Stacey Greenough, Coordinator, Children in Care SPDS: Jennifer Croft, Sr. Policy Analyst
6 Approval for presentation to PMC
Leonard Doiron Executive Director, CYFS Date



# **DECISION REQUEST**

TO: Lynn Hartwell, Deputy Minister

Nancy MacLellan, Associate Deputy Minister

FROM: Leonard Doiron, Executive Director, Children. Youth and Family Services

**DATE:** April 16, 2018

SUBJECT: Developing policy for children in care who are not Canadian citizens

#### **ISSUE/DECISION REQUIRED:**

Approval to develop a policy that provides clear direction on when and how to respond to citizenship and immigration issues for children in care who are not Canadian citizens.

#### **RECOMMENDATION: Option #3**

It is recommended that the Department of Community Services:

Develop policy that would require social workers to explore the possibility of applying for citizenship and to determine, on a case-by-case basis, if and when it may be appropriate to do so.

Changes to policy would be concentrated in three areas:

- **1.** At the intake/investigation and information-gathering stage, where policies will be strengthened with explicit instruction for social workers to inquire about citizenship; as well as during the completion of the ecomap, genogram and culture and history collection tool.
- **2.** The case planning section of the Child Welfare Manual would require the child welfare social worker to specifically address immigration and citizenship considerations as part of the child's plan of care and expectations for regular review.
- **3.** The case planning section of the Child Welfare Manual would also include a newly created subsection to specifically address immigration and citizenship considerations and processes. This subsection would provide terminological definitions and clarification, relevant resources, accountabilities, and examples of possible limitations or inherent risks towards seeking citizenship status as guardians for children in care, such as severing the child's previous citizenship.

#### ISSUE OVERVIEW/DISCUSSION

#### **Relevant Background**

Child welfare social workers are tasked with assessing initial and ongoing risk to children and responding to children who are brought into care. As part of planning for every child in care, the individual goals of children may vary, however, permanency is always at the forefront. Children in care present with complex needs, requiring the child welfare social worker to carefully asses the level of risk to the child and to determine their ongoing plan of care.

Immigrant families coming to Nova Scotia as a percentage of population has increased. Because of the changing demographics, it is increasingly likely that child welfare will be referred cases where children do not have Canadian citizenship. A child's immigration status adds complexity to the situation. The department must ensure its response to the child is appropriate and effective.

#### **Current situation**

Child welfare policy is currently silent on when and how to respond to refugee, permanent resident or other immigration statuses of children who enter the temporary or permanent care and custody of the Minister of Community Services. This includes whether, and in what circumstances, the Minister of Community Services, through the Department, should pursue citizenship for children in their care.

#### **Key issues/considerations**

Complexity of Immigration Statuses: The range of immigration statuses are complex, as too is understanding the eligibility and documentation requirements for obtaining any particular immigration status. Developing policy and processes to guide child welfare social workers in applying for Canadian citizenship on behalf of children in care will require consultation with immigration legal experts to ensure policy clearly details the applicable terminology, the relevant resources, the accountabilities, and any limitations or inherent risks towards seeking citizenship status as guardians for children in care, such as severing the child's previous citizenship.

The child in care at the centre of planning: That complexity needs to be addressed while maintaining a child-centred approach. There are nuances and differences, with potentially significant impacts, that must be considered in each circumstance. For example, it is important to recognize that without Canadian citizenship, the child's future ability to remain in the country could be at risk. Delays in making citizenship applications may become more difficult as the child ages and possibly engages in activities that may compromise a successful application.

*Inconsistent case management:* The creation of child welfare policy for children in care will establish a consistent and timely approach in considering whether it is in the child's best interests to pursue Canadian citizenship. This is not currently the case.

Opportunity for collaborative partnerships with Federal/Provincial stakeholders: This area of policy development is topical for all jurisdictions given an increased focus on assisting refugees and immigration in general.

ANALYSIS of OPTIONS (Max 3)	(14(1))
Option 1	
Pros:  •	
Cons:	
•	
•	
•	
-	

14(1) Option 2: Pros: Cons:

14(1) Option 3: 1. 2. Pros: Cons:

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (RECOMMENDED ACTION)

There are no anticipated financial implications as a result of the proposed policy changes.

**Request Prepared by:** Jennifer Croft, Senior Policy Analyst, 902-424-4946 and Stacey Greenough, 902-424-5036

April 16, 2018

**Request Prepared by**: Peter Newbery, Director, Budget & Results, 902-424-4291

**Request Approved by:** Leonard Doiron, Executive Director, 902-424-8256



P.O. Box 696 Halifax, NS B3J 2T7 Fax: 424-0708

Community Services

Child, Youth & Family Supports

# **MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** Service Delivery Managers

Assistant Executive Directors, Mi'kmaw Family and Children's Services

**cc.** Service Delivery Executive Director

Service Delivery Directors

Executive Director, Mi'kmaw Family and Children's Services

Child Welfare Specialists and Program Coordinators

Child, Youth & Family Support Directors

**FROM:** Kelly Besler, Director, Child Protection, Child Youth and Family Supports

**DATE:** April 30, 2018

**RE:** Child Welfare Manual -Revisions and Additions

Citizenship, Immigration and other related manual section updates

We are pleased to announce that CYFS staff have developed new policy to guide child welfare social workers in their practice with children and families who are dealing with immigration and citizenship matters.

On May 1<sup>st</sup>, this new policy will be available in the Child Welfare Manual under <u>Section 3.4.1</u> <u>Overview of Immigration and Citizenship</u>, located under the revised Chapter name, "Assessment, Planning Tools and Resources".

In creating a new policy specific to immigration and citizenship, several subsections of the manual were revised to ensure adequate attention to this important issue. As such, the following portions of the Manual have been revised to include thorough consideration of immigration and citizenship matters:

#### **Child Welfare Policies**

#### **Chapter 1, General Information**

<u>Section 1.2 Introduction to Child, Youth and Family Supports, Subsection: 1.2.4 Cultural Competency and Social Work Practice</u> now includes reference to policy regarding immigration and citizenship as a consideration for those dealing with immigration matters.

#### **Child Protection and Children in Care Policies**

#### **Chapter 1, General Information**

<u>Section 1.1 Introduction to the Child Protection and Children in Care Policies, 1.1.0</u> Overview now includes definitions related to immigration and citizenship.

#### Chapter 1: General Information

Section: 1.2 Children's Rights, <u>Subsection: 1.2.1 Rights and Responsibilities of Children in</u>

Care now includes the need to consider a child's immigration and citizenship status as part of their plan of care.

#### **Chapter 1: General Information**

Section: 1.4 Police Involvement, <u>Subsection: 1.4.4 Detention of a Child by a Peace Officer</u> now includes direction on when a peace officer/border services officer may assist in responding to unaccompanied children who enter the country without immigration status or children who enter with parents who are subsequently detained leaving the child abandoned.

#### **Chapter 3: Assessment and Planning Tools**

Section: 3.1 Child's Cultural and Community Connections / Family Mapping, Subsection: 3.1.1 Culture and History Collection Tool (CHCT) now includes the need to consider immigration and citizenship during information gathering and case planning related to the CHCT.

#### **Chapter 3: Assessment and Planning Tools**

Section: 3.1 Child's Cultural and Community Connections / Family Mapping, <u>Subsection:</u> 3.1.2 Ecomap now includes the need to identify immigration and citizenship status as part of information collection and planning.

#### **Chapter 3: Assessment and Planning Tools**

Section: 3.1 Child's Cultural and Community Connections / Family Mapping, <u>Subsection:</u> 3.1.4 Cultural Connection Plan now includes consideration and planning for the child's needs in relation to immigration and citizenship.

#### **Chapter 4: Intake and Investigation**

Section: 4.1 Child Protection Referral Intake, Assessment & Investigation, Subsection: 4.1.7 Responsive Timelines now includes a specified response time for those children who are found to be unaccompanied minors or abandoned at the Canadian border.

#### **Chapter 5: Case Planning**

Section: 5.2 Case Plan Management, <u>Subsection: 5.2.8 Child's Plan of Care Overview</u> now requires consideration of a child's immigration and citizenship status at the time of planning for children in care and subsequent reviews. Please note: *the child's plan of care form has also been revised* to include consideration and planning for the child's needs in relation to immigration and citizenship status, as well as improved features, most of which are in response to the excellent feedback from staff through the *feedback loop*.

#### **Chapter 9: Services for Children in Care**

Section: 9.4 Special Circumstances, <u>Subsection: 9.43. Travel Outside of Canada</u> now includes the necessity to consider immigration and citizenship issues for children in care travelling out of country.



# Policy Management Committee Policy Analysis Form

# Part B Options Analysis

#### 7 Overview of current situation and purpose for request

Child welfare policy is currently silent on when and how to respond to refugee, permanent resident or other immigration statuses of children who enter the temporary or permanent care and custody of the Minister of Community Services. This includes whether, and in what circumstances, the Minister of Community Services, through the Department, should pursue citizenship for children in their care.

The purpose for this request is to seek approval to develop a policy that provides clear direction on when and how to respond to citizenship and immigration issues for children in care who are not Canadian citizens.

### 8 Background

Child welfare social workers are tasked with assessing initial and ongoing risk to children and responding to children who are brought into care. As part of planning for every child in care, the individual goals of children may vary, however, permanency is always at the forefront. Children in care present with complex needs, requiring the child welfare social worker to carefully asses the level of risk to the child and to determine their ongoing plan of care.

Immigrant families coming to Nova Scotia as a percentage of population has increased. Because of the changing demographics, it is increasingly likely that child welfare will be referred cases where children do not have Canadian citizenship. A child's immigration status adds complexity to the situation. The department must ensure its response to the child is appropriate and effective.

#### 9 Key issue(s)

Complexity of Immigration Statuses: The range of immigration statuses are complex, as too is understanding the eligibility and documentation requirements for obtaining any particular immigration status. Developing policy and processes to guide child welfare social workers in applying for Canadian citizenship on behalf of children in care will require consultation with immigration legal experts to ensure policy clearly details the applicable terminology, the relevant resources, the accountabilities, and any limitations or inherent risks towards seeking citizenship status as guardians for children in care, such as severing the child's previous citizenship.

#### The child in care at the centre of planning:

That complexity needs to be addressed while maintaining a child-centred approach. There are nuances and differences, with potentially significant impacts, that must be considered in each circumstance. For example, it is important to recognize that without Canadian citizenship, the child's future ability to remain in the country could be at risk. Delays in making citizenship applications may become more difficult as the child ages and possibly engages in activities that may compromise a successful application.

#### Inconsistent case management:

The creation of child welfare policy for children in care will establish a consistent and timely approach in considering whether it is in the child's best interests to pursue Canadian citizenship. This is not currently the case.

PMC Policy Analysis For Page 1 of 10

### Opportunity for collaborative partnerships with Federal/Provincial stakeholders

10 Options

This area of policy development is topical for all jurisdictions given an increased focus on assisting refugees and immigration in general.

14(1)

Describe at least three options to address the issue, including pros and cons of each. One option will be status quo (no changes to address the policy issue). Consultation with key individuals may be required to ensure a comprehensive exploration of each option. Additionally, it is important to demonstrate alignment with other initiatives/Transformation and best practices in other jurisdictions.
Option 1:
Pros:
Cons:
•
Option 2:
Pros:

	14(1)
Cons:	
Option 3:	
Pros:	
•	

		14(1)		
Cons:				
•				
Section 7-11 approval				
Kelly Besler	Droingt Direct	tor TOLL	Director CDDC	
Director, Program	Project Direct OR	ior, 150	Director, SPDS	
Date April 16, 2018	Date		Date	_

# Part C Recommendation Analysis

# 12 Recommended action

Option 3 is recommended.

A policy will be developed that requires the child welfare social worker to gather information about the child's citizenship at the time a child enters care or as soon thereafter as possible. The determination of whether to pursue Canadian citizenship will require careful consideration, on a case-by-case basis, regarding the appropriateness of doing so.

Section 12 approval				
Kelly Besler Director, Program	OR	Project Director, TSU	Director, SPDS	
April 16, 2018 Date		 Date	Date	

# 13 Financial impact

Section 13 approval

Provide the following information, as appropriate: the source of funding for the proposal; the need for new funding or existing funding reallocation; impacts on other expenditures; impact on budget estimates resulting in increased deficit/reduced surplus; FTE impacts.

There are no anticipated financial implications as a result of the proposed policy changes.

Pete Newbery Director, Budgets and Results
March 17, 2018 Date
Date
14 Information technology implications
As a result of this policy change, ICM improvements may need to be explored, such as developing a flag on
the system to document critical immigration activities.
Section 14 approval
Director, Business Relationship (ITCS)
Date
Date
15 Other government wide implications
15 Other government-wide implications
None of the policy changes above require actions on the part of other provincial government departments.
Section 15-16 approval
Kelly Besler Project Director, TSU Director, SPDS
OR

Date

12(1)(a)(i)

April 16, 2018

17 Jurisdictional review

Date

Date

12(1)(a)(i)

Section 17 approval					
Juanita Fawcett, for Shannon Ke Advisor, Intergovernmental Relat					
March 16, 2018 Date					
18 Consultation undertak	en	14(1)	16		
In addition to consulting with the Justice Nova Scotia has worked advice on the topic.					
Section 18 approval					
Kelly Besler					
Director, Program	Project Dir	ector, TSU	_	Director, SPDS	
April 16, 2018 Date	Date		_	Date	
Date	Date			Date	
20 Legal implications	16				
Section 20 approval					
• •					
Adriana Meloni Solicitor, Department of Justice					
April 16, 2018 Date					

# 21 Privacy implications

There are no anticipated privacy implications as a result of the proposed policy changes.

Section	21	approval	
---------	----	----------	--

	, Information Services & Priv	vacy	
March 23 Date	3 <u>, 2018</u>		
22 Serv	rice delivery implication	ons (14(1))	d HR
Section 2	22 approval		
Bev Budo Executive	<u>den</u> e Director, SDSS	Executive Director, SD	Heather Glascock Business Partner, HR
March 22 Date	<u>2, 2018</u>	Date	March 21, 2018 Date
23 Com	nmunications plans	14(1)	
Section 2	23 approval		
Glenn Fri		r, on behalf of Patricia Jreige, Acting Di	rector
March 29 Date	) <u>,2018</u>		
	cy Lenses the implications for the follo	wing policy lens categories. For those	that do not apply, indicate using "N/A".
	onomic impact signification impacts		
	pact to Rural Nova Scoto signification impacts	iia	
⊠ So	cial equity		

Implementation of a formal policy will support child welfare social workers across the province in addressing situations involving child refugees. The policy will ensure a consistent approach to all cases so that no child is left out.

The child-centric approach to this policy change ensures that the rights of the child are at the forefront of any decisions made with respect to the application for Canadian citizenship. Child welfare social workers will carefully consider the appropriateness of pursing citizenship, based on each individual child's unique circumstances.

	Gender based analysis No significant impacts. The children, and it will ensure a assessment of each child's i		d inclusive for both female and male zenship are appropriate based on an
	<b>Trade policy</b> No signification impacts		
	<b>Environmental</b> No signification impacts		
	Human resources No signification impacts		
	Regulatory impact/Implica No signification impacts	tions for business	
	<b>Municipal</b> No signification impacts		
	Intergovernmental No signification impacts		
	First Nations No signification impacts		
	African Nova Scotian No signification impacts		
	Acadian Affairs No signification impacts		
Secti	on 24 approval		
	Besler tor, Program	Project Director, TSU	Director, SPDS
April Date	OR 16, 2018	Date	Date

# 25 Related Outcomes and Measurement Framework (OMF) outcomes

Department Outcomes	Child Welfare Outcome	Rationale
Clients are able to meet their	Children, youth, and families	Children (refugee) entering Canada as
basic needs	receive the specialized support	permanent residents will present with

	thousead	
	they need	unique and complex experiences and needs. Supports provided by Child Welfare to apply for Canadian citizenship will need to take this into consideration.
Staff and delivery partners are empowered to make a positive different in people's lives	Staff and service providers feel empowered	Updates to the child welfare policy manual will provide social workers with required information and guidance on supporting refugee children and going through the Canadian citizenship application process.
Section 25 approval		
Kelly Besler		
Director, Program  OR	Project Director, TSU	Director, SPDS
April 16, 2018 Date	Date	Date
INE COURS DEED TIRST		nique situation and experience and putting
		s or misalignment to the work underway or the Disability Support Program areas.
Additionally, the recommended of		s or misalignment to the work underway
Additionally, the recommended of or current practices in Employme  Section 26 approval  Anna Warren, for Kathleen Rogers	ent Support and Income Assistance	s or misalignment to the work underway
Additionally, the recommended of or current practices in Employment Section 26 approval		s or misalignment to the work underway
Additionally, the recommended of or current practices in Employme  Section 26 approval  Anna Warren, for Kathleen Rogers	ent Support and Income Assistance	s or misalignment to the work underway

March 22, 2018	
Date	

#### 28 Summary of proposal

This Decision Request proposes that revisions be made to the current child welfare policy manual regarding the application of Canadian citizenship on behalf of all refugee children who enter Canada as permanent residents and for whom the Minister of Community Services has obtained permanent care and custody. The new policy will require the child welfare social worker to consider the unique immigration status and/or circumstances at the time a child is taken into care and at minimum, every 90 days thereafter, unless circumstances warrant a more immediate review and action. The proposed policy revisions would require social workers to explore the possibility of citizenship and to determine if doing so is appropriate on a case-by-case basis.

Changes to policy would be concentrated within the case planning section of the Child Welfare Manual. A new subsection would be created specifically related to immigration and citizenship processes. This subsection would:

- provide an overview of immigration and citizenship issues, including terminology, processes, and considerations such as the age of the child, the country of origin, the current immigration status of the child, the current permanency plan for the child, and accessible resources;
- provide an overview of what must be considered for the child, under a wide variety of circumstances;
- ensure clarity on how to move forward given the particulars of the child's situation;
- emphasize the required detail and importance of the role of the Minister, as a wise and conscientious parent; and
- include links for federal information as well as clarity on terminology and where to seek assistance as needed.

Section 28 approval					
Kelly Besler Director, Program	Project Director, TSU	Director, SPDS	_		
April 16, 2018					
Date	Date	Date			
29 Final Approval of Policy Analysis Form (Required prior to Decision Request/Cabinet document completed)					
Kelly Besler	OR	April 16, 2018			
Director, Program	Project Director, TSU	Date			
Director, SPDS	Date				
Leonard Doiron	April 16, 2018				
Executive Director, Program	Date				
Executive Director, P&I	 Date				